

Journal for the History of Analytical Philosophy

Volume 9, Number 4

Editor in Chief

Audrey Yap, University of Victoria

Editorial Board

Annalisa Coliva, UC Irvine

Henry Jackman, York University

Frederique Janssen-Lauret, University of Manchester

Kevin C. Klement, University of Massachusetts

Consuelo Preti, The College of New Jersey

Marcus Rossberg, University of Connecticut

Anthony Skelton, Western University

Mark Textor, King's College London

Richard Zach, University of Calgary

Editors for Special Issues

Sandra Lapointe, McMaster University

Alexander Klein, McMaster University

Review Editors

Sean Morris, Metropolitan State University of Denver

Sanford Shieh, Wesleyan University

Design and Layout

Daniel Harris, Hunter College

Kevin C. Klement, University of Massachusetts

ISSN: 2159-0303

jhaponline.org

© 2021 Yi Jiang and Stefan Majetschak

Preface

Yi Jiang and Stefan Majetschak

Special Issue: Concepts of the Psyche: Wittgenstein on Mental Phenomena

Edited by Stefan Majetschak and Yi Jiang

Preface

Yi Jiang and Stefan Majetschak

1. Origin of this issue

With words like “consciousness”, “spirit”, “mind”, or “soul” in our everyday language, our usual assumption is to refer to inner “objects” or mental “processes”. In his later philosophy, however, Wittgenstein holds that we are tempted to interpret all these words as referring to “inner objects” or “mental processes” only because we are construing them in the light of false analogies. As a consequence of his critique, Wittgenstein denies that we refer to an unseizable “yet uncomprehended” object or “process in the yet unexplored medium” of the human psyche when using these or other “psychological concepts”; for this appears to be the fundamental grammatical illusion. As he is well aware, however, his critique might be understood “as if” he “denied mental processes” as such. “And”, as he emphasizes in *Philosophical Investigations* § 308, “naturally we don’t want to deny them”.

A question arises if we take Wittgenstein by his word in PI § 308: if Wittgenstein is not denying the mental phenomena but only their misguided interpretation, then what does their adequate interpretation look like? How do we have to conceive the ontology of mental phenomena appropriately, if it is true that mental processes are undeniably real within the human psyche? In the so-called *Remarks on the Philosophy of Psychology*, which consists of Ts 229 and Ts 232, two late typescripts written after the main parts of the *Investigations* have been completed, Wittgenstein thoroughly discusses different types of mental phenomena. But whether a positive account of these phenomena—besides his critique of their grammatically misguided interpretation—can be found in these writings has seldom been examined.

2. Main contents

In what follows, we publish a selection of papers which have been presented during the conference *Concepts of the Psyche: Wittgenstein on Mental Phenomena* that took place at Beijing Normal University on 2017 April 8 and 9. Some of the papers address Wittgenstein’s trains of thought from a methodological point of view as mentioned above. In his article “Wittgenstein and Folk Psychology” Yi Jiang (Shanxi University) examines Wittgenstein’s negative attitude to some concepts and issues in the philosophy of psychology, folk psychology, and the foundation of current study in psychology. Stefan Majetschak’s (University of Kassel) paper “‘A misleading parallel’: Wittgenstein on Conceptual Confusion in Psychology and the Semantics of Psychological Concepts” provides a brief sketch of what Wittgenstein considered to be the conceptual confusion prevalent in psychology. Majetschak suggests why Wittgenstein did not expect the methods of an experimental (natural) science to be successful in solving the problems that concern us in psychology. And Francis Y. Lin (Beijing International Studies University) in “Wittgenstein on Understanding and Emotion: Grammar and Methods” examines the grammar of some emotion words and the methods Wittgenstein employs in dissolving the philosophical problem(s) of emotion.

On the other hand, two articles by Anja Weiberg (University of Vienna) and Zhao Fan (University of Canterbury, New Zealand) focus on more detailed topics of Wittgenstein’s thoughts on the philosophy of psychology after 1945. Anja Weiberg’s paper “Philosophical Concepts, the Ideal of Sublimation, and the ‘Unpredictability of Human Behaviour’” examines Wittgenstein’s critique of the widespread practice of constructing one’s own uses of words in philosophy and of taking these special uses to be more authoritative than the uses of everyday language. Finally, Zhao Fan’s article “A Critical Discussion of the ‘Memory-Challenge’ to Interpretations of the Private Language Argu-

ment” critically discusses interpretations of the famous “private language argument” recently launched by Francis Y. Lin.

3. Aim of the issue

Considering the lack of the attention paid to Wittgenstein’s philosophy of psychology in present philosophical discussions, this issue focuses on the presentation and clarification of Wittgenstein’s thoughts in his later writings on the philosophy of psychology. All contributors to the issue at hand are experts who have been working on Wittgenstein’s philosophy for years and are engaged in projects on Wittgenstein in China as well as in the world. The aim of this issue is to pay more attention to Wittgenstein’s ideas about mental phenomena and his criticism of the philosophy of psychology as misguided by false analogies.

Acknowledgements

As the guest editors of this special issue we are grateful for peer reviews to all contributions to the issue by Reshef Agam-Segal (Virginia Military Institute), Thomas McNally (Trinity College, Dublin), Thomas Michael (Beijing Normal University), Christopher Mole (University of British Columbia), Danièle Moyal-Sharrock (University of Hertfordshire), and Richard Raatzsch (EBS Universität für Wirtschaft und Recht, Östrich-Winkel). We also appreciate two anonymous reviewers for their excellent suggestions for revisions to each paper in this issue. Finally, we are grateful to Sandra Lapointe, editor of special issues of this journal, for her great patience and good advice to us for our further research.

Yi Jiang
Shanxi University
yijiang@sxu.edu.cn

Stefan Majetschak
University of Kassel
stefan.majetschak@uni-kassel.de